

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/675,464	Applicant(s) VARRIALE ET AL.	
	Examiner Thomas M. Lithgow	Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas M. Lithgow.

(2) Mr. Thomas.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
112P2

Claims discussed:
24

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The newly added word (first) in claim 24 line 9 (...flow to said second first filtering step, ...) is deleted.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 THOMAS M. LITHGOW
 PATENT EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)